

Meeting Notes for Senate Meeting on March 22, 2016
Rodger Jackson, acting as secretary in lieu of Laura Zucconi absence

Meeting called to order at: 4:38

- i. Minutes from previous meeting are approved
- ii. Student participation in Module Task Force, Brian Moore, member of Student Senate
Originated from a request from student senate, student senate asked for participation.
Unanimous approval
- iii. Second reading of the Dual Credit Recommendations.
 - a. Went through email conversation among senators. Senate Exec put together a set of recommendations and modifications of the Task Force recommendations which would then be included in the total package of recommendations. Moved and seconded.
 - b. Unanimous approval
- iv. Unanimous approval of the modified Task Force recommendations
- v. First reading amendment to the attendance policy. Originated from Student Senate.
 - a. Comment from Senator asking for clarification of rare and compelling circumstance.
 - b. Question from Senator as to whether the location of the new addition would affect the way it is interpreted. Asked to see the entire procedure. Recommendation to make sure that it would be listed as the seventh potential criteria under section "a" which would explicitly states that these decisions are at the discretion of the instructor.
 - c. Question raised from Senator about whether the implication the way in which the students might use this to insist on getting a make up exam (or other such work) in a case where the University has chosen not to close
 - i. Response from Shelly Meyers (Chair of Academic Policies): New language was constructed as a compromise to indicate they have heard the students.
 - ii. Response from Michelle McDonald (Office of the Provost) that though the origin of it was on safety become a larger discussion about various kinds of issues which might be addressed.
 - iii. Susan remarked that this is a conceptual issue which she is concerned with
- vi. Centers and Research institutes.
 - a. Comment read into minutes from Senator, "In the "budget requirements" Should the budget include expenses as well as sources of funding?"
 - b. Michelle McDonald (Office of the Provost) pointed out that this actually is already included in the document

- c. Senator pointed out that the Senate, even with the Standing Committees, does not have any representation in the process for enacting Centers and Institutes
- vii. Moved and approved to move into closed session
- viii. Approval of designating this a first reading for Centers and Institutes procedure.
- ix. Presentation of the MACJ
 - a. Questions about needs assessment
 - b. Motion to fast track and seconded this proposal
 - i. Discussion
 - ii. The motion to fast track was withdrawn
 - c. This is viewed as a first hearing
- x. Presentation on Certificate program from CRIM
 - a. Acknowledged as first reading
- xi. Motion and vote on attaching “majors” to diplomas
- xii. Presentation by Skip on Atlantic City